

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,193	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. E. Crane	1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) L. E. Crane.

(3) _____

(2) Richard S. Barth.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 07-149786 and Mizushina et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant supplied complete information for a Japanese patent publication and a NPL document. These references were then cited on an updated PTO-892 by examiner a copy of which was made of record in this case.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)